INTRODUCTION

- In 2013, it was estimated that 95,688 people were living with multiple myeloma (MM) in the United States.
- There has been significant improvement in the prognosis of MM patients over the last decade, largely due to the availability of novel therapies.²
- There is now availability of numerous chemotherapeutic options for MM treatment and these agents are very often used as combination regimens.
- Not surprisingly, many patients receive multiple lines of therapy, as relapse and resistance to previous treatments occur.^{3,4}
- In this study, we sought to describe the use of drug regimens by lines of therapy and to describe the duration of line of therapy in Medicare-enrolled MM patients.

METHODS

- Data were ascertained from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) 100% Hematologic Cancer File.
- Included Medicare beneficiaries:
- Diagnosis of MM (using a combination of the International Classification of Diseases, Revision 9 [ICD-9] codes 203.0X and diagnosis tests or treatment) between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2011. MM case identification was done using a validated algorithm and the diagnosis date was identified as the disease index date.
- Initiated treatment with a chemotherapeutic agent specific to MM following the disease index date. The date of treatment initiation was identified as the treatment index date.
- Continuously enrolled in Medicare Part A, Part B, and Part D between treatment index date and 12 months prior to the disease index date.
- Aged 18 years or older at the disease index date.
- Excluded patients:
- Received chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy in the 12 months before the disease index date.
- Had evidence of bone marrow transplant or stem cell transplant in the 12 months prior to the disease index date.
- Patients receiving treatments were identified for multiple lines of therapies from induction therapy through the fourth line.
- Treatment regimens within lines were identified using claims for medications within 90 days of the start of the line.
- Medications were identified from Medicare Part D prescription drug event claims (using NDC codes) and Part B line items and Part A outpatient claims (using HCPCS codes).
- Drug regimens were based on National Comprehensive Cancer Network MM treatment guidelines.
- Drug regimens included proteasome inhibitor (PI): bortezomib; immunomodulatory agents (IMiD): lenalidomide, thalidomide; PI/IMiD combinations; and other chemotherapies.
- To further identify patients who initiated multiple lines of therapy, we require them to be continuously enrolled in Medicare Parts A, B, and D between the dates of treatment initiation for the current and previous lines.
- Patients advanced lines of therapy after a 90-day gap in all treatments (break) or when a drug was added to a regimen after 90 days (direct switch).
- Patient characteristics for each line of therapy (including age, sex, race, geographic region, calendar year, and comorbidity) were described using descriptive statistics.
- Duration of treatment (overall and by regimen) for each line of therapy was reported.

Treatment Regimens and Duration of Lines of Therapy in Medicare-Enrolled Patients With Multiple Myeloma

Akeem A. Yusuf,^{1,2} Tanya Natwick,¹ Diana Felici,³ Winifred Werther⁴

¹Chronic Disease Research Group, Minneapolis, MN; ²University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; ³Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., an Amgen subsidiary, South San Francisco, CA; ⁴Amgen Inc., South San Francisco, CA

RESULTS

- A total of 15,474 Medicare beneficiaries diagnosed with MM and treated with a first-line therapy were included in this analysis; the patient flow through the inclusion criteria is shown in **Figure 1**.
- Demographic and clinical characteristics for patients included in lines 1 through 4 are shown in **Table 1**.
- 15,474, 8,308, 3,878[,] and 1,608 MM patients initiated first-, second-, third-, and fourth-line treatments, respectively.
- For patients included who were treated with first-line therapy, mean (standard deviation) age (defined at disease index date) was 75.1 (8.8) years; 54.4% were female and 77.5% were white.
- The mean age (defined at disease index date) of included patients decreased progressively from line 1 to line 4.

Figure 1. Multiple myeloma (MM) patient flow through the study inclusion criteria



	Line 1 cohort	Line 2 cohort	Line 3 cohort	Line 4 cohort		Line 1	Line 2	Line 3	Line 4
Ν	15,474	8,308	3,878	1,608	Overall, n	15,474	8,308	3,878	1,608
Age mean (SD), years*	75.1 (8.8)	74.2 (8.5)	73.3 (8.2)	72.6 (8.3)	Regimen, n (%)				
Age, %*					Bortezomib based	4,693 (30.3)	1,999 (24.1)	924 (23.8)	378 (23
18–64	8.6	9.2	9.5	10.3	Lenalidomide based	3,199 (20.7)	2,029 (24.4)	954 (24.6)	365 (22.
65–74	40.0	44.4	49.2	50.9	Thalidomide based	1,289 (8.3)	464 (5.6)	181 (4.7)	81 (5.0
75+	51.4	46.4	41.3	38.7	Bortezomib lenalidomide combo	1,228 (7.9)	788 (9.5)	398 (10.3)	156 (9.
Sex, %					Bortezomib thalidomide combo	318 (2.1)	183 (2.2)	84 (2.2)	38 (2.4
Male	45.6	46.6	47.1	46.1	Other	4,747 (30.7)	2,845 (34.2)	1,337 (34.5)	590 (36
Female	54.4	53.4	52.9	53.9	Table 3. Regimen durations				
Race, %					Table 5. Regiment durations	Line 1	Line 2	Line 3	Line 4
White	77.5	78.5	80.2	80.0	Regimen duration in days, mean (SD)	15,474	8,308	3,878	1,608
Black	16.3	15.4	14.1	14.2	Overall	386 (332)	329 (280)	268 (230)	232 (194
Other	6.1	6.2	5.8	5.8	Bortezomib based	362 (304)	308 (260)	237 (194)	222 (16
Index year					IMiD	426 (322)	366 (274)	316 (231)	265 (204
2008	21.0	6.5	0.9	* 🔎	Lenalidomide based	424 (353)	364 (290)	323 (263)	268 (22)
2009	24.0	19.0	11.1	4.0	Thalidomide based	432 (380)	377 (333)	279 (255)	254 (23
2010	24.3	24.6	23.8	19.0	Bortezomib/IMiD	416 (343)	333 (247)	276 (206)	246 (18
2011	25.6	26.9	30.3	35.3	Bortezomib/lenalidomide combo	425 (298)	331 (268)	284 (235)	246 (158
2012	5.1	23.0	33.9	41.7 🖸	Bortezomib/thalidomide combo	384 (328)	341 (291)	241 (180)	247 (22
Charlson comorbidty index					Other	360 (333)	309 (275)	245 (217)	209 (18
0	18.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	IMiD, immunomodulatory agents; SD, standard deviation.				
1–3	56.3	62.1	64.6	62.9					
4+	25.5	35.7	33.3	35.0	LIMITATIONS				
Selected comorbid conditions					 The MM case identification algori 			ated using Medicare	e data. Thus
Congestive heart failure	17.4	21.2	22.7	24.6	performance in the Medicare pop	ulation is unknow	'n.		
Diabetes	27.6	29.9	31.1	31.2	 Some Medicare Part D enrollees without low-income subsidy status who reach the coverage gap may choose to obtain their medications from a non-Part D source and would likely either have been 				
COPD	18.9	23.5	26.9	30.5					
Chronic kidney disease	35.9	41.8	45.4	48.2	misclassified as non-treated or ha	ave a misclassifie	d treatment regime	n.	
Anemia	58.8	72.9	79.4	82.8					
Osteoporosis	12.1	15.0	17.0	19.0	CONCLUSIONS				
Neutropenia	1.6	7.3	13.7	17.4	 In the Medicare MM population 	, the distribution o	of treatment regime	ns including PI, IMil	D, and othe
Thrombocytopenia	6.8	13.5	19.2	22.6	chemotherapies were similar across lines 1 through 4.				
	- · ·				 The treatment duration of lines 				

*Age as at MM disease index date. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; MM, multiple myeloma; SD, standard deviation.

Regimen distribution is shown in Table 2.

- 30%, 29%, 10%, and 31% of patients were treated with PI, IMiD, PI/IMiD combinations, and other chemotherapies, respectively, as line 1 regimens.
- Regimen distributions were similar for lines 1 through 4.
- Duration of treatment regimens is shown in **Table 3**.
- Overall, the mean duration of line of therapies 1 through 4 was 386, 329, 268, and 232 days, respectively; while the corresponding median durations were 284, 241, 199, and 177 days, respectively
- For lines 1 through 4, patients treated with IMiDs had the longest treatment duration.

- These data provide insights into real-world use of MM treatments.

REFERENCES

- . Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Stat Fact Sheets: Myeloma.National Cancer Institute, 2013. http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/mulmy.html.
- 2. Kumar SK, et al. *Blood.* 2008;111:2516–2520.
- 3. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Multiple Myeloma Version 2.2014
- 4. Song X, et al. Curr Med Res Opin. 2016;32:95–103.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by Amgen, Inc. The authors thank BlueMomentum, an Ashfield Company, part of UDG Healthcare PLC, for poster editing funded by Amgen, Inc.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

Dr. Yusuf and Ms. Natwick report no conflicts of interest. Ms. Felici was an employee of Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., an Amgen subsidiary, South San Francisco, CA. Dr. Werther is esponse (QR) Code are for personal use only ar an employee of Amgen, Inc.



opies of this poster obtained through Qι

y not be reproduced without permission fro

ASCO[®] and the author of this poster

Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology; June 3–7, 2016; Chicago, IL